

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Sanjeev Redkar, et al.

Group Art Unit: 1618

Serial Number: 10/080,530

Examiner: Micah P. Young

Filing Date: February 21, 2002

CONFIRMATION NO: 8656

Title: COMPOSITIONS AND FORMULATIONS
OF 9-NITROCAMPOTHECIN POLYMORPHS
AND METHODS OF USE THEREFOR

FILED ELECTRONICALLY ON: August 3, 2006

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT
AND
APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT
UNDER 37 CFR §1.705

Sir or Madam:

Applicant(s) hereby requests(s) that the Office reconsider the Patent Term Adjustment and adjust the Patent Term Adjustment determination for this application.

This request is made AFTER the date of the Notice of Allowance;
 This request is made ON or BEFORE payment of the issue fee.

--OR--

This request is made after issuance of the patent and is accompanied by a Certificate of Correction.

--OR--

This request is made within TWO months from the date of issuance of the patent.

The statement of facts involved specifying the correct Patent Term Adjustment and the bases for the adjustment are as follows:

37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(1). The Office is required to mail at least one of a notification under 35 U.S.C. §132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. §151 not later than fourteen months after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. §111(a) or fulfilled the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §371 in an international application:

The date on which the application was filed:

12/11/2006 CKHLOK 00000020 232415 7071202

01 FC:1455 200.00 DA

The 14 month date on which the Office was to issue an
Office Action or Notice of Allowance _____

The actual date on which the first Office Action or Notice
of Allowance was mailed _____

The difference for which Applicant should received credit _____

The Office credited Applicant ____ days, when it should have credited ____ days, as illustrated
above.

37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(2). The Office is required to respond to a reply under 35 U.S.C. §132 or to an
appeal taken under 35 U.S.C. § 134 not later than four months after the date on which the reply
was filed or appeal was taken:

Reply

The date of applicant's response to notice of rejection: _____

4 months from the date of response to the notice of
rejection _____

The actual date on which the Office replied _____

The difference for which Applicant should received credit _____

The Office credited Applicant ____ days, when it should have credited ____ days, as illustrated
above.

Appeal

The date on which Applicant's filed completed Appeal
Brief: _____

4 months from the date of the appeal brief _____

The actual date on which the Examiner's Answer or
Notice of Allowance was mailed _____

The difference for which Applicant should received credit _____

The Office credited Applicant ____ days, when it should have credited ____ days, as illustrated
above.

37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(3). The Office is required act on an application not later than four months
after the date of a decision by the BPAI, or a decision by a Federal court where at least one
allowable claim remains in the application:

The date of decision allowing at least one claim by the
BPAI or Federal Court _____

4 months from the date of decision _____

The actual date on which the Office replied _____

The difference for which Applicant should received credit _____

The Office credited Applicant ____ days, when it should have credited ____ days, as illustrated
above.

37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(3). The Office is required to issue a patent not later than four months after the date on which the issue fee was paid under 35 U.S.C. §151:

The date applicant paid issue fee:

4 months from the date of issue fee payment

The actual date on which the patent issued

The difference for which Applicant should received credit

The Office credited Applicant ____ days, when it should have credited ____ days, as illustrated above.

37 C.F.R. §1.702(b). The Office is required to issue a patent within three years from the actual filing date of the application:

The date on which the application was filed

3 years from the date the application was filed

The date upon which the patent issued

The difference for which Applicant should received credit

The Office credited Applicant ____ days, when it should have credited ____ days, as illustrated above.

37 C.F.R. §1.702(c). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance was delayed due to interference proceedings.

The date upon which the interference was declared

The date upon which the interference ended

The difference for which Applicant should received credit

The Office credited Applicant ____ days, when it should have credited ____ days, as illustrated above.

37 C.F.R. §1.702(d). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the application being placed under a secrecy order.

The date upon which the secrecy order was issued

The date upon which the secrecy order was removed

The difference for which Applicant should received credit

The Office credited Applicant ____ days, when it should have credited ____ days, as illustrated above.

37 C.F.R. §1.702(e). The Office is required to adjust the term of the original patent if the issuance was delayed to review by the BPAI or Federal court, if the decision reversed an adverse determination of patentability.

The date upon which the application was appealed to the BPAI or Federal Court

The date upon which the BPAI or Federal Court issued a decision reversing an adverse determination of patentability

The difference for which Applicant should received credit

The Office credited Applicant ____ days, when it should have credited ____ days, as illustrated above.

37 C.F.R. §1.703. The Office is entitled to adjust the sum of the patent term adjustment for Applicant delay. However, Applicant believes that the Office incorrectly deducted patent term adjustment.

The Office erroneously deducted 120 days for Applicant delay based on a Terminal Disclaimer that was filed on February 24, 2006 in connection with Rule 312. The Office responded to the amendment in connection with Rule 312 in a response dated June 7, 2006. The period between February 24, 2006 and June 7, 2006 is 103 days. 37 CFR § 1.704 (c) (10) states that Applicants will be deducted the lesser of the number of days beginning on the date of the amendment under § 1.312 or other paper that was filed and ending on the mailing date of the notice in response to the amendment under § 1.312 or four months. Applicants request that this deduction be removed and the patent term be restored 17 days.

37 C.F.R. §1.704. The Office is entitled to reduce the period of patent term adjustment for Applicant delay:

Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement dated _____ was accompanied by a statement under 1.704(d) that each item of information contained in the statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application less than 30 days prior to filing the information disclosure statement.

Thus, applicant should be credited _____ days which were erroneously deducted from the patent term for applicant's delay.

Fee Authorization. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the petition fee under 37 C.F.R. §1.18(e) of \$0.00 and charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 23-2415 (Docket No. 12636-267).

Respectfully submitted,

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

Dated: Aug. 3. 2006

By: 
Shirley Chen, Registration No. 44,608

650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
Telephone No.: (650) 493-9300
Facsimile No.: (650) 493-6811
Customer No.: 021971